

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

HANOVER INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

v.

THOMAS CONTRACTING &
DEVELOPMENT, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:18-cv-02279-MMD-CWH

ORDER

Presently before the court is the parties’ joint discovery plan and scheduling order (ECF No. 15), filed on February 22, 2019. Local Rule 26-1 states that “the discovery plan must include, in addition to the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f),” the calendar dates for the following deadlines: the discovery cut-off, amending the pleadings, expert disclosures, dispositive motions, and the joint pretrial order. *See* LR 26-1(1)-(5). Discovery plans must also include a certification that the parties “met and conferred about the possibility of using alternative dispute-resolution processes including mediation, arbitration, and if applicable, early neutral evaluation.” LR 26-1(b)(7). Further, the parties must certify that “that they considered consent to trial by a magistrate judge” LR 26-1(b)(8).

Here, the parties’ proposed discovery plan and scheduling order does not provide the calendar dates for the deadlines required under Local Rule 26-1. The proposed discovery plan also fails to include the required certifications for alternative dispute-resolution and trial by a magistrate judge. The court will therefore deny the motion for failure to comply with Local Rule 26-1.

//
//

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties' joint discovery plan and scheduling
2 order (ECF No. 15) is DENIED without prejudice.

3
4 DATED: February 27, 2019

5
6 
7 C.W. HOFFMAN, JR.
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28